January 15, 2015

Jolie H. Matthews
Senior Health Policy Advisor and Counsel
National Association of Insurance Commissioners
Via Email: JMatthews@NAIC.org

RE: Comments on Health Benefit Plan Network Access and Adequacy Model Act
(Model #74) dated 11/12/14

Dear Ms. Matthews:

We are writing to you on behalf of the American Psychiatric Association, the medical specialty society representing over 36,000 psychiatric physicians, to comment on the National Association of Insurance Commissioners’ (NAIC) proposed Health Benefit Plan Network Access and Adequacy Model Act, which aims to establish standards for the creation and maintenance of networks by health carriers and assure the adequacy, accessibility, transparency and quality of health care services offered by network plans.

Network adequacy is significant because consumers pay for and rely on a promise that they will be able to access health benefits, including mental health care, at in-network agreed to cost. If the provider network is inadequate, these same consumers must go out of network (if out-of-network coverage is even allowed) for health care at unfavorable financial terms.

Network adequacy for mental health and substance use conditions is also a requirement of federal law. The Affordable Care and Patient Protection Act makes access to mental health care an “essential health benefit” and the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 (MHPAEA) requires that access to mental health care be on par with access to all other health care. We are concerned that as written, the Model Act could mistakenly be construed not to apply to mental health care and provide these comments to correct that deficiency.

MHPAEA was “designed to end discrimination in the provision of coverage for mental health and substance use disorders as compared to medical and surgical conditions in employer-sponsored group health plans and health insurance coverage offered in connection with group health plans.” Coalition For Parity, Inc. v. Sebelius, 709 F. Supp.2d 10, 13 (D.D.C. 2010). MHPAEA is a non-discrimination statute and mandates that mental health and substance use disorder (MH/SUD) benefits be on par with medical/surgical benefits. Fundamental to MHPAEA’s promise is that health plans actually comply with the law’s requirements thereby achieving equity of access for persons with MH/SUDs. To that end, health plans must deliver benefits to enrollees with timely access to a sufficient number of in-network providers, including physicians who specialize in mental health, i.e. psychiatrists. 78 FR 68246. A health plan’s unilateral declaration that they have an adequate network and a state insurance commission’s acceptance of that representation without disclosure, transparency and verification, is simply not...
enough. This process has led to problems with so called “phantom networks” where studies have demonstrated that of those listed in psychiatric networks as available to take on new patients with a given insurance, persons who paid to have that network available find that only approximately 26% of those on the list might actually be available for patient care. We have attached a sample study for your review.

The APA appreciates NAIC’s efforts to promulgate a Model Act intended to provide guidance to states when creating their network adequacy standards and in developing procedures and processes for implementing those standards. However, several sections of the Model Act need clarification and minor additions to make network adequacy a reality for all patients, including those with mental health and/or substance use conditions. We have annotated the November 12, 2014 version of the Model Act with our recommended language and have attached it to this letter. Our recommended clarifications and changes and the rationale are described below.

1) Section 3. Definitions. The definitions of the Model Act need to be amended to include psychiatric providers, patients with MH/SUDs, and MH/SUD services. Our recommendations include the expansion of the definition of “emergency medical condition” to include any condition that poses a threat to the individual’s safety or the safety of others; “health care professional” to clarify that “physicians” includes psychiatrists who, as you know, are Medical Doctors, but their inclusion may not be clear if not expressly stated in the Model Act; and “health care service” to include mental health services. These additions are critical with respect to people who have psychiatric care needs, who may present by making threats of harm (usually to themselves, and on rare occasions to others), and require the care of psychiatric physicians.

2) Section 5. Network Adequacy. In order for a network to be truly adequate there must be specific standards by which adequacy is measured, verified and monitored. Further, employers and potential purchasers should have access to data demonstrating that the network will provide what it promises before they decide to purchase the product. While the Model Act addresses adequacy in terms of numbers of providers, we have seen that sheer numbers are meaningless when it comes to access to care. Indeed, there are generally no parameters around those numbers which allow a consumer to know the percentage of the physician’s practice dedicated to that particular plan, whether the physician is full or part time, etc. The numbers of physicians in network should be calculated by full time equivalents, rather than sheer numbers alone. Additionally, plans sometimes have out of network provider contractual arrangements with some physicians where they agree to pay those physicians more to care for patients when their network is lacking or otherwise unavailable. Those relationships and the number of claims those physicians submit should be disclosed to consumers and the insurance commissioners by provider specialty.

Merely having a name on a page in a network directory does not translate to patient access to care, and health carriers need to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of network adequacy set by the state. Carriers should be required to provide and publish publicly available quarterly reports, by provider listed in the directory by specialty, and include the number of claims submitted by that provider in the past quarter. Similarly, the carrier should publish on a quarterly basis the number of out of network claims paid by the plan for each physician specialty. These reports are readily accessible by the carriers and require little effort to produce. The results
will address the concerns of: (a) whether those that are listed as participating in the network are actually seeing patients in the network and (b) whether the out of network claims are disproportionate indicating that the network itself is not sufficient. Requiring health carriers to regularly and publicly report the number of claims filed by providers in and out of network will encourage carrier transparency and compliance with adequacy requirements. It will also give the health consumer who must pay for these services accurate information about the likelihood that a particular carrier will be able to meet their health care needs. The Model Act mentions this in a Drafting Note, but we believe the issue is so significant that it should be included as text.

3) Section 6. **Requirements of Health Carriers and Participating Providers.** It is the APA’s experience that the majority of health carriers that contract with physicians (particularly psychiatrists) use automatically renewing contracts to engage physicians in their network. We have had two types of repeated experiences with these contracts:

   a) When a physician actually terminates the agreement pursuant to the contract terms, the health carrier does not remove their name from the network. We have had several members who have had to expend substantial resources trying to get their names removed from network roles.

   b) Some of these contracts are quite old and physicians have not followed the process for termination when they believe they are no longer in the network. Therefore, when patients call, the physician is still on the network directory, but tells the patients they are no longer in the network.

   To resolve these issues, the Model Act should require health carriers that use automatically renewing contracts to provide notice to the provider at least 60 days in advance of the notice termination period that the contract is about to renew and that failure to terminate by the deadline will result in contract renewal. Requiring carriers to notify participants of the termination period will help to keep reported network numbers more accurate. The requirement that carriers report claims submission will also help to identify potential problems described above where the carrier and the provider have different views as to whether or not the provider is participating in the network.

4) Section 8. **Provider Directories.** Many of the provider directories are inaccurate because they include practitioners who are retired, deceased, on reduced schedules, or whose primary role has changed from patient provider to another area. Further, these directories often include duplicate entries, or practitioners who are no longer taking new patients. Requiring health carriers to file claims reports and confirm the accuracy of the directories will ensure that consumers are getting the networks they need and access to the providers claimed to be available when they made their decision to purchase medical coverage.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have questions or need additional information, please contact Irwin L. “Sam” Muszynski at 703-907-8594 or Colleen Coyle at 703-907-8695.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Saul Levin, MD, MPA
CEO and Medical Director
Comments are being requested on this draft by Jan. 12, 2015. The revisions to this draft reflect changes made from the existing model. Comments should be sent only by email to Jolie Matthews at jmatthews@naic.org.
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Section 1. Title

This Act shall be known and may be cited as the Managed Care Health Benefit Plan Network Access and Adequacy Act.

Drafting Note: In some states existing statutes may provide the commissioner with sufficient authority to promulgate the provisions of this Act in regulation form. States should review existing authority and determine whether to adopt this model as an act or adapt it to promulgate as regulations.

Section 2. Purpose

The purpose and intent of this Act are to:

A. establish standards for the creation and maintenance of networks by health carriers; and

B. to assure the adequacy, accessibility, transparency and quality of health care services offered under a managed care network plan by:

1. establishing requirements for written agreements between health carriers offering managed care network plans and participating providers regarding the standards, terms and provisions under which the participating provider will provide services to covered persons; and

2. Requiring network plans to have and maintain publicly available access plans consistent with Section 5B of this Act that consist of policies and procedures for assuring the ongoing sufficiency of provider networks.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: SUBGROUP AGREED TO RETURN TO THIS SECTION TO CONSIDER POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL REVISIONS.
Drafting Note: In states that regulate prepaid health services, this model Act may be modified for application to contractual arrangements between prepaid limited health service organizations that provide a single or limited number of health care services and the providers that deliver services to enrollees covered persons.

Section 3. Definitions

For purposes of this Act:

A. “Closed plan” means a managed care plan that requires covered persons to use participating providers under the terms of the managed care plan.

A. “Balance billing” means the practice of a (non-participating) provider billing for the difference between the provider’s charge and the health carrier’s allowed amount.

B. “Commissioner” means the insurance commissioner of this state.

Drafting Note: Use the title of the chief insurance regulatory official wherever the term “commissioner” appears. If the jurisdiction of certain health carriers, such as health maintenance organizations, lies with some state agency other than the insurance department, or if there is dual regulation, a state should add language referencing that agency to ensure the appropriate coordination of responsibilities.

C. “Covered benefits” or “benefits” means those health care services to which a covered person is entitled under the terms of a health benefit plan.

D. “Covered person” means a policyholder, subscriber, enrollee or other individual participating in a health benefit plan.

E. “Emergency medical condition” means the sudden and, at the time, unexpected onset of a health medical condition that requires immediate medical attention, where failure to provide medical attention would result in serious impairment to bodily functions or serious dysfunction of a bodily organ or part, or would place the person’s health in serious jeopardy, manifests itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity, including severe pain, that would lead a prudent layperson, possessing an average knowledge of medicine and health, could reasonably expect, in the absence of immediate medical attention, to result in:

(1) Placing the individual’s health or, with respect to a pregnant woman, the woman’s or her unborn child’s health in serious jeopardy;

(2) Serious impairment to a bodily function;

(3) Serious impairment of any bodily organ or part; or

(4) With respect to a pregnant woman who is having contractions:

(a) That there is inadequate time to effect a safe transfer to another hospital before delivery; or

(b) That transfer to another hospital may pose a threat to the health or safety of the woman or unborn child.

(5) A threat to the individual’s safety or the safety of others.

F. “Emergency services” means health care items and services furnished or required to evaluate and treat an emergency medical condition, with respect to an emergency medical condition, as defined in Subsection E;

(1) A medical screening examination that is within the capability of the emergency department of a hospital, including ancillary services routinely available to the emergency department to evaluate the emergency medical condition; and

(2) Any further medical examination and treatment to the extent they are within the capabilities of the staff and facilities available at the hospital to stabilize the patient.
G. “Essential community provider” means a provider that serves predominantly low-income, medically underserved individuals, including a provider defined in Section 340B(a)(4) of the PHSA and a tax exempt entity that meets the requirements of that standard except that it does not receive funding under that section.

Drafting Note: The term “essential community provider” is not used in this Act. However, the term is defined in this section to alert states that having a certain number or percentage of essential community providers in a provider network is a requirement that a qualified health plan (QHP) must satisfy in order to be offered on a health insurance exchange under the federal Affordable Care Act (ACA) and implementing regulations.

GH. “Facility” means an institution providing health care services or a health care setting, including but not limited to hospitals and other licensed inpatient centers, ambulatory surgical or treatment centers, skilled nursing centers, residential treatment centers, diagnostic, laboratory and imaging centers, and rehabilitation and other therapeutic health settings.

Drafting Note: States that regulate Medicaid managed care plans may wish to broaden this definition to list other types of facilities, such as end-stage renal facilities, hospice, and home health agencies.

HI. “Health benefit plan” means a policy, contract, certificate or agreement entered into, offered or issued by a health carrier to provide, deliver, arrange for, pay for or reimburse any of the costs of health care services.

HJ. “Health care professional” means a physician (including psychiatrists) or other health care practitioner licensed, accredited or certified to perform specified health services consistent with state law.

Drafting Note: States may wish to specify the licensed health professionals to whom this definition may apply (e.g., physicians, psychologists, nurse practitioners, etc.). This definition applies to individual health professionals, not corporate “persons.”

JK. “Health care provider” or “provider” means a health care professional, a pharmacy or a facility.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: SUBGROUP DEFERRED MAKING A DECISION ON WHETHER TO ADD “PHARMACY” TO THIS DEFINITION UNTIL IT COULD DETERMINE HOW AND IN WHAT MANNER A “PHARMACY” OR “PHARMACIST” IS TO BE REFLECTED IN PROVIDER NETWORKS.

KL. “Health care services” means services for the diagnosis, prevention, treatment, cure or relief of a health condition, illness, injury or disease, including mental health and substance use disorders.

LM. “Health carrier” means an entity subject to the insurance laws and regulations of this state, or subject to the jurisdiction of the commissioner, that contracts or offers to contract, or enters into an agreement to provide, deliver, arrange for, pay for or reimburse any of the costs of health care services, including a sickness and accident insurance company, a health maintenance organization, a nonprofit hospital and health service corporation, or any other entity providing a plan of health insurance, health benefits or health services.

Drafting Note: States that license health maintenance organizations pursuant to statutes other than the insurance statutes and regulations, such as the public health laws, will want to reference the applicable statutes instead of, or in addition to, the insurance laws and regulations.

Drafting Note: Section 2791(b)(2) of the Public Health Service Act (PHSA) defines the term “health insurance issuer” instead of “health carrier.” The definition of “health carrier” above is consistent with the definition of “health insurance issuer” in Section 2791(b)(2) of the PHSA.

MN. “Health indemnity plan” means a health benefit plan that is not a managed care network plan.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: THE SUBGROUP DECIDED TO RETAIN THE DEFINITION OF “HEALTH INDEMNITY PLAN” FOR POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN SECTION 4. THE SUBGROUP ALSO DEFERRED DECIDING WHETHER TO RENAME THE TERM AS “NON-NETWORK PLAN.”

NO. “Intermediary” means a person authorized to negotiate and execute provider contracts with health carriers on behalf of health care providers or on behalf of a network.
Q. “Managed care plan” means a health benefit plan that either requires a covered person to use, or creates incentives, including financial incentives, for a covered person to use health care providers managed, owned, under contract with or employed by the health carrier.

Drafting Note: The definition of “managed care plan” is intentionally broad in order to apply to health benefit plans using any type of requirement or incentive for enrollees to choose certain providers over others. Some states may wish to limit the definition by regulation to exclude plans having broad-based provider networks that meet specified standards. The standards could include minimum network participation requirements (e.g., at least 90% of the providers in the service area participate in the plan) and maximum payment differentials (e.g., the providers in the plan accept a discount of no more than 5% below reasonable and customary charges). The purpose of the exclusion is to exempt health benefit plans that are primarily fee-for-service arrangements, that do not purport to manage the utilization of health care services, and that do not require the safeguards provided to consumers under this Act.

P. “Network” means the group of participating providers providing services to a managed care network plan.

Q. “Network plan” means a health benefit plan that either requires a covered person to use, or creates incentives, including financial incentives, for a covered person to use health care providers managed, owned, under contract with or employed by the health carrier.

Drafting Note: The definition of “network plan” is intentionally broad in order to apply to health benefit plans using any type of requirement or incentive for enrollees to choose certain providers over others, such as HMOs, EPOs, PPOs and including accountable care organizations (ACOs) and other models of health care delivery systems. Some states may wish to limit the definition by regulation to exclude plans having broad-based provider networks that meet specified standards. The standards could include minimum network participation requirements (e.g., at least 90% of the providers in the service area participate in the plan) and maximum payment differentials (e.g., the difference between in-network and out-of-network cost-sharing or the providers in the plan accept a discount of no more than 5% below reasonable and customary charges). The purpose of the exclusion is to exempt health benefit plans that are primarily fee-for-service arrangements, that do not purport to manage the utilization of health care services, and that do not require the safeguards provided to consumers under this Act.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: THE DRAFTING NOTE ABOVE IS FOR THE MOST PART EXISTING LANGUAGE. SHOULD IT BE RETAINED, DELETED OR REVISED FURTHER?

Q. “Open plan” means a managed care plan other than a closed plan that provides incentives, including financial incentives, for covered persons to use participating providers under the terms of the managed care plan.

R. “Participating provider” means a provider who, under a contract with the health carrier or with its contractor or subcontractor, has agreed to provide health care services to covered persons with an expectation of receiving payment, other than coinsurance, copayments or deductibles, directly or indirectly from the health carrier.

S. “Person” means an individual, a corporation, a partnership, an association, a joint venture, a joint stock company, a trust, an unincorporated organization, any similar entity or any combination of the foregoing.

T. “Primary care professional” means a participating health care professional designated by the health carrier to supervise, coordinate or provide initial care or continuing care to a covered person, and who may be required by the health carrier to initiate a referral for specialty care and maintain supervision of health care services rendered to the covered person.

U. “Telemedicine” or “Telehealth” means covered benefits provided to a covered person from a health care professional who is at a site other than where the covered person is located using telecommunications technology.

V. “To stabilize” means with respect to an emergency medical condition, as defined in Subsection E, to provide such medical treatment of the condition as may be necessary to assure, within a reasonable medical probability, that no material deterioration of the condition is likely to result from or occur during the
transfer of the individual from a facility, or, with respect to an emergency medical condition described in Subsection E(4), to deliver, including the placenta.

W. “Transfer” means, for purposes of Subsection V, the movement, including the discharge, of an individual outside a hospital’s facilities at the direction of any person employed by, or affiliated or associated, directly or indirectly, with the hospital, but does not include the movement of an individual who:

(1) Has been declared dead; or

(2) Leaves the facility without the permission of any such person.

GENERAL NOTE: DURING ITS DISCUSSIONS ON REVISIONS TO THIS SECTION, THE SUBGROUP CONSIDERED INCLUDING AND DEFINING THE TERMS “ANCILLARY SERVICES,” “PREFERRED PROVIDER,” “PROVIDER CONTRACT,” “SERVICE AREA,” AND “TIERED PROVIDER NETWORK.” THESE TERMS ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS REVISED SECTION BECAUSE EITHER THEY WERE NOT USED IN THE SUBSTANTIVE PROVISIONS OF THE REVISED MODEL ACT OR DID NOT NEED TO BE DEFINED. HOWEVER, ANYONE MAY SUBMIT ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON WHETHER THESE TERMS SHOULD BE INCLUDED AND DEFINED.

Section 4. Applicability and Scope

This Act applies to all health carriers that offer managed care network plans.

Drafting Note: States may wish to consider accreditation by a nationally recognized private accrediting entity, with established and maintained standards that, at a minimum, are substantially similar to or exceed the standards required under this Act, as evidence of meeting some or all of this Act’s requirements. However, accreditation should not be used as a substitute for state regulatory oversight nor should accreditation be considered a delegation of state regulatory authority in determining network adequacy. States should consider accreditation as an additional regulatory tool in determining compliance with the standards required under this Act. Under such an approach, the accrediting entity will make available to the state its current standards to demonstrate that the entity’s standards meet or exceed the state’s requirements. The private accrediting entity shall file or provide the state with documentation that a managed care network plan has been accredited by the entity. A state may deem a health carrier accredited by the private accrediting entity with standards that meet or exceed the state’s requirements would then be deemed to have met as meeting the requirements of the relevant sections of this Act where comparable standards exist, except that accreditation should never exempt a health carrier from submitting for prior approval or filing, as appropriate, an access plan as required by Section 5 of this Act. States should periodically review a health carrier’s private certification and eligibility for deemed compliance.

Section 5. Network Adequacy

A. A health carrier providing a managed care network plan shall maintain a network that is sufficient in numbers (full-time equivalents) and types of providers who are available to see patients of the particular plan to assure that all services to covered persons will be accessible without unreasonable delay. In the case of emergency services, covered persons shall have access twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: THE SUBGROUP DECIDED TO ADD THE DRAFTING NOTE BELOW REGARDING POTENTIAL ISSUES WITH TIERED NETWORKS. THE SUBGROUP ALSO SAID IT WOULD REVISIT THIS ISSUE TO DETERMINE IF SUBSTANTIVE LANGUAGE SHOULD BE ADDED TO THE MODEL.

Drafting Note: States may want to pay particular attention to network sufficiency, marketing and disclosure issues that may arise with respect to certain health carrier network designs, such as so-called “tiered networks.” Tiered networks may be designed, marketed and sold in different ways. Regulators should review what information carriers are providing to consumers on a particular tiered network at the time of sale. In some cases, the network may be designed such that not all covered services are provided in every tier. Regulators should pay close attention to the benefits promised to the consumer by the carrier. States could consider a variety of regulatory options including taking no additional action, requiring additional disclosures or requiring additional scrutiny of tiered networks.

B. Sufficiency shall be determined in accordance with the requirements of this section, and may be established by reference to any reasonable criteria used by the carrier, including but not limited to:
(1) Provider-covered person ratios by specialty;

(2) Primary care provider-covered person ratios;

(3) Geographic accessibility;

(4) Geographic population dispersion;

(5) Waiting times for appointments with participating providers;

(6) Hours of operation;

(7) New health care service delivery system options, such as telemedicine or telehealth; and

(8) The volume of technological and specialty services available to serve the needs of covered persons requiring technologically advanced or specialty care.

(9) Hours each participating physician dedicates to coverage under the plan.

Drafting Note: Instead of the general standards provided in Subsection B for determining network sufficiency, some states have developed specific quantitative standards to ensure adequate access that carriers must, at a minimum, satisfy in order to be considered to have a sufficient network. Such standards have included requirements for carriers to have a minimum number of providers within a specified area (such as a rural or urban area, metropolitan or non-metropolitan area), maximum travel distance to providers, maximum travel time to providers and maximum waiting times to obtain an appointment with a primary care provider. These standards could be incorporated into a law. However, in many cases, these standards are more likely to be included in regulations and should reference numbers of providers in terms of full time equivalents.

C (1) In any case where the health carrier has an insufficient number or type of participating provider to provide a covered benefit, the health carrier shall have a process to ensure that the covered person obtains the covered benefit at no greater cost to the covered person than if the benefit were obtained from participating providers, an in-network level of benefits from a non-participating provider, or shall make other arrangements acceptable to the commissioner when:

(a) The health carrier has a sufficient network, but has determined that it does not have a type of participating provider available to provide the covered benefit to the covered person; and

(b) The health carrier has an insufficient number or type of participating provider within a reasonable distance available to provide the covered benefit to the covered person in a reasonable time.

(2) The health carrier shall specify the process a covered person may use to request access to obtain a covered benefit from a non-participating out-of-network provider as provided in Paragraph (1) when:

(a) The covered person is diagnosed with a condition or disease that requires specialized health care services or medical services; and

(b) The health carrier:

(i) Does not have a network provider of the required specialty with the professional training and expertise to treat or provide health care services for the condition or disease; or

(ii) Cannot provide reasonable access to a network provider with the professional training and expertise to treat or provide health care services for the condition or disease without unreasonable delay or distance.

* The health carrier shall disclose on a quarterly basis any geographic area where it does not have adequate in-network coverage in a specialty area as determined by the number of out of network claims received in the prior quarter for that specialty in that area.
(3) The health carrier shall treat the services the covered person receives from a non-network provider pursuant to Paragraph (2) as if the services were provided by a network provider and health carrier shall be responsible for any payment owed the non-network provider.
(4) Nothing in this section prevents a covered person from exercising the rights and remedies available under applicable state or federal law relating to internal claims grievance and appeals processes.

D. (2)(1) A health carrier shall establish and maintain adequate arrangements to ensure reasonable proximity access of participating providers to the business or personal residence of covered persons. In determining whether a health carrier has complied with this provision, the commissioner shall give due consideration to the relative availability of health care providers in the service area under consideration.

(3)(2) A health carrier shall monitor, on an ongoing basis, the ability, clinical capacity, financial capability and legal authority of its participating providers to furnish all contracted covered benefits to covered persons.

BE. Option 1. Prior Approval of Access Plan

(1) Beginning [insert effective date], a health carrier shall file with the commissioner for approval prior to or at the time it files a newly offered network plan, in a manner and form defined by rule of the commissioner, an access plan meeting the requirements of this Act for each of the managed care network plans that the carrier offers in this state.

Drafting Note: States will establish different requirements for the access plan. Option 1 of Paragraph (1) above requires a health carrier to submit the access plan to the insurance commissioner for prior approval. Some states may prefer that a health carrier file the access plan with the insurance commissioner, but not require that the insurance commissioner take any action on the plan. This is Option 2 of Paragraph (1) below. Some states may also specify an agency other than the insurance department as the appropriate agency to receive or approve access plans.

Option 2. Filing of Access Plan

(1) Beginning [insert effective date], a health carrier shall file with the commissioner, in a manner and form defined by rule of the commissioner, an access plan meeting the requirements of this Act for each of the network plans the carrier offers in this state.

Drafting Note: States will establish different requirements for the access plan. Option 2 of Paragraph (1) above requires a health carrier to file the access plan with the insurance commissioner, but does not require the commissioner to take action on the plan. Some states may want require the commissioner’s approval of access plans, which is in Option 1 of Paragraph (1). Other states may prefer that a health carrier not file the access plan with the insurance commissioner but, instead maintain the plan on file at the health carrier’s place of business and make it accessible to the commissioner and others specified by the commissioner. Some states may also specify an agency other than the insurance department as the appropriate agency to receive or approve access plans.

(2) (a) The health carrier may request the commissioner to deem sections of the access plan as [proprietary, or competitive or trade secret] information that shall not be made public. For the purposes of this section, information is proprietary or competitive if revealing the information would cause the health carrier’s competitors to obtain valuable business information. The health carrier shall file the access plan, absent [proprietary, competitive or trade secret] information, available online, on its business premises and shall provide them to any interested party upon request.

(b) For the purposes of this subsection, information is [proprietary or competitive or a trade secret] if revealing the information would cause the health carrier’s competitors to obtain valuable business information.

Drafting Note: States should be aware that the intent of Paragraph (2) above is that the access plan be considered public information. Health carriers should not be permitted to request that the entire plan is [proprietary, competitive or trade information] and, as such, no provision of the plan may be made public. States should review their open records laws in
determining whether a particular provision, if any, of an access plan is [proprietary, competitive or trade secret] information and should not be made public based on information received from the health carrier supporting its request. For purposes of
this paragraph, states also should review their laws or regulations to determine which term “proprietary,” “competitive” or “trade secret” is appropriate to use or if some other term is more appropriate.

(3) The carrier shall prepare an access plan prior to offering a new managed care network plan, and shall notify the commissioner of any material change to any existing network plan within fifteen (15) business days after the change occurs. The health carrier shall include in the notice to the commissioner a reasonable timeframe within which it will submit to the commissioner for approval or file with the commissioner, as appropriate, an updated an existing access plan whenever it makes any material change to an existing managed care plan. The access plan shall describe or contain at least the following:

**Drafting Note:** States may want to consider defining “material change” for purposes of Paragraph (3) above.

**Drafting Note:** Different states will set different requirements for the access plan. This model requires a health carrier to file the plan with the insurance commissioner but does not require the commissioner to take action on the plan. Some states may want to require the commissioner’s approval of access plans; other states may prefer that a health carrier not file the access plan with the commissioner but instead maintain the plan on file at the carrier’s place of business and make it accessible to the commissioner and others specified by the commissioner. Some states may also specify an agency other than the insurance department as the appropriate agency to receive or approve access plans.

**Drafting Note:** States should be aware that requirements in this section for the access plan may be duplicative of other requirements in other sections of this Act. To the extent there is such duplication, the intent is that the health carrier be required to file or submit, as appropriate, the information one time.

F. The access plan shall describe or contain at least the following:

1. The health carrier’s network, including how the use of telemedicine or telehealth or other technology may be used to meet network access standards;

2. The health carrier’s procedures for making and authorizing referrals within and outside its network, if applicable;

3. The health carrier’s process for monitoring and assuring on an ongoing basis the sufficiency of the network to meet the health care needs of populations that enroll in managed care network plans;

4. The health carrier’s process for making available in consumer-friendly language the criteria it has used to build its provider network, which must be made available through the health carrier’s online and in-print provider directories;

5. The health carrier’s efforts to address the needs of covered persons with limited English proficiency and illiteracy, with diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, and with physical and mental disabilities;

6. The health carrier’s methods for assessing the health care needs of covered persons and their satisfaction with services;

7. The health carrier’s method of informing covered persons of the plan’s services and features, including but not limited to, the plan’s grievance procedures, its process for choosing and changing providers, its process for updating its provider directories for each of its network plans, a statement of services offered, including those services offered through the preventative care benefit, if applicable, and its procedures for providing and approving emergency and specialty care;

8. The health carrier’s system for ensuring the coordination and continuity of care for covered persons referred to specialty physicians, for covered persons using ancillary services, including social services and other community resources, and for ensuring appropriate discharge planning;

9. The health carrier’s process for enabling covered persons to change primary care professionals;
(10) The health carrier’s proposed plan for providing continuity of care in the event of contract termination between the health carrier and any of its participating providers, or in the event of the health carrier’s insolvency or other inability to continue operations. The description shall explain how covered persons will be notified of the contract termination, or the health carrier’s insolvency or other cessation of operations, and transferred to other providers in a timely manner; and

(11) Any other information required by the commissioner to determine compliance with the provisions of this Act.

Drafting Note: States may want to consider requiring that an access plan include information on the health carrier’s efforts to ensure that its participating providers meet its quality of care standards and health outcomes for certain types of network plans, such as HMOs and narrow network plans that the health carrier has designed to include providers that have high quality of care and health outcomes.

Section 6. Requirements for Health Carriers and Participating Providers

A health carrier offering a managed care network plan shall satisfy all the requirements contained in this section.

A. A health carrier shall establish a mechanism by which the participating provider will be notified on an ongoing basis of the specific covered health services for which the provider will be responsible, including any limitations or conditions on services.

B. Every contract between a health carrier and a participating provider shall set forth a hold harmless provision specifying protection for covered persons. This requirement shall be met by including a provision substantially similar to the following:

“Provider agrees that in no event, including but not limited to nonpayment by the health carrier or intermediary, insolvency of the health carrier or intermediary, or breach of this agreement, shall the provider bill, charge, collect a deposit from, seek compensation, remuneration or reimbursement from, or have any recourse against a covered person or a person (other than the health carrier or intermediary) acting on behalf of the covered person for services provided pursuant to this agreement. This agreement does not prohibit the provider from collecting coinsurance, deductibles or copayments, as specifically provided in the evidence of coverage, or fees for uncovered services delivered on a fee-for-service basis to covered persons. Nor does this agreement prohibit a provider (except for a health care professional who is employed full-time on the staff of a health carrier and has agreed to provide services exclusively to that health carrier’s covered persons and no others) and a covered person from agreeing to continue services solely at the expense of the covered person, as long as the provider has clearly informed the covered person that the health carrier may not cover or continue to cover a specific service or services. Except as provided herein, this agreement does not prohibit the provider from pursuing any available legal remedy.”

C. (1) Every contract between a health carrier and a participating provider shall set forth that in the event of a health carrier or intermediary insolvency or other cessation of operations, covered services benefits to covered persons will continue through the period for which a premium has been paid to the health carrier on behalf of the covered person or until the covered person’s discharge from an inpatient facility, whichever time is greater.

(2) After the period for which premium has been paid, covered benefits to covered persons confined in an inpatient facility on the date of insolvency or other cessation of operations will continue until the earlier of:

(a) The effective date of new health benefit plan coverage; or

(b) Their discharge from the inpatient facility because their continued confinement in the inpatient facility is no longer medically necessary.

NOTE TO SUBGROUP: THE SUBGROUP DECIDED TO INCLUDE (2)(a) AND (b), BUT ALSO LEFT OPEN THE POSSIBILITY OF ADDING A THIRD PARAMETER RELATED TO THE EXAUSTION OF THE CARRIER’S ASSETS OR NO GUARANTY FUND COVERAGE.
D. The contract provisions that satisfy the requirements of Subsections B and C shall be construed in favor of the covered person, shall survive the termination of the contract regardless of the reason for termination, including the insolvency of the health carrier, and shall supersede any oral or written contrary agreement between a provider and a covered person or the representative of a covered person if the contrary agreement is inconsistent with the hold harmless and continuation of covered services provisions required by Subsections B and C of this section.

E. In no event shall a participating provider collect or attempt to collect from a covered person any money owed to the provider by the health carrier.

F. (1) Health carrier selection standards for selecting or tiering of participating providers shall be developed for primary care professional providers and each health care professional specialty.

(2) (a) The standards shall be used in determining the selection of health care professionals providers by the health carrier, and its intermediaries and any provider networks with which it contracts.

(b) The standards shall meet the requirements of [insert reference to state provisions equivalent to the Health Care Professional Credentialing Verification Model Act].

(3) Selection criteria shall not be established in a manner:

(a) That would allow a health carrier to avoid discriminate against high-risk populations by excluding providers because they are located in geographic areas that contain populations or providers presenting a risk of higher than average claims, losses or health care services utilization; or

(b) That would exclude providers because they treat or specialize in treating populations presenting a risk of higher than average claims, losses or health care services utilization; or

(c) That fails to take into account provider performance on quality metrics and patient outcomes.

(2)(4) Paragraphs (1)(a) and (1)(b)(3) shall not be construed to prohibit a carrier from declining to select a provider who fails to meet the other legitimate selection criteria of the carrier developed in compliance with this Act.

(2)(5) The provisions of this Act do not require a health carrier, its intermediaries or the provider networks with which they contract, to employ specific providers or types of providers acting within the scope of their license or certification under applicable state law that may meet their selection criteria, or to contract with or retain more providers or types of providers acting within the scope of their license or certification under applicable state law than are necessary to maintain an adequate sufficient provider network, as required under Section 5 of this Act.

Drafting Note: This subsection is intended to prevent health carriers from avoiding risk by excluding either of two types of providers: (1) those providers who are geographically located in areas that contain potentially high-risk populations; or (2) those providers who actually treat or specialize in treating high-risk populations, regardless of where the provider is located. Exclusion based on geographic location may discourage individuals from enrolling in the plan because they would be required to travel outside their neighborhood to obtain services. Exclusion based on the provider’s specialty or on the type of patient contained in the provider’s practice may discourage a person unwilling to change providers in the course of treatment from enrolling in the plan. For example, if a carrier were permitted to exclude physicians whose practices included many patients infected with HIV, the carrier could avoid enrolling these persons in its plan, since those persons would probably not want to change physicians in the course of treatment. This subsection does not prevent health carriers from requiring all providers that participate in the carrier’s network to meet all the carrier’s requirements for participation.

G. A health carrier shall make its selection standards for selecting and tiering, as applicable, participating providers available for review [and approval] by the commissioner.
Drafting Note: The disclosure of a health carrier’s selection standards to providers and consumers is an important issue to be considered by states and could be addressed in this Act or in another law. The NAIC is considering developing such a model.

H. A health carrier shall notify participating providers of the providers’ responsibilities with respect to the health carrier’s applicable administrative policies and programs, including but not limited to payment terms, utilization review, quality assessment and improvement programs, credentialing, grievance and appeals procedures, data reporting requirements, reporting requirements for timely notice of changes in practice, such as discontinuance of accepting new patients, confidentiality requirements, and any applicable federal or state programs.

I. A health carrier shall not offer an inducement under the managed care plan to a provider to provide less than medically necessary services to a covered person.

J. A health carrier shall not prohibit a participating provider from discussing any specific or all treatment options with covered persons irrespective of the health carrier’s position on the treatment options, or from advocating on behalf of covered persons within the utilization review or grievance or appeals processes established by the carrier or a person contracting with the carrier.

Drafting Note: States should be aware that the term “participating provider” is meant to include providers who may not be in the typical physician office setting or hospital setting, such as patient care coordinators.

K. A health carrier shall require a provider to make health records available to appropriate state and federal authorities involved in assessing the quality of care or investigating the grievances or complaints of covered persons, and to comply with the applicable state and federal laws related to the confidentiality of medical or health records.

L. (1) (a) A health carrier and participating provider shall provide at least sixty (60) days written notice to each other before terminating the contract without cause.

Drafting Note: In addition to without cause contract terminations, with respect to tiered network plans, states may want to consider the implications that consumers may face, including continuity of care and financial implications, when a participating provider is reassigned in the middle of a policy or contract year to another tier with higher cost-sharing requirements.

(b) The health carrier shall make a good faith effort to provide written notice of a termination within fifteen (15) working thirty (30) days of receipt or issuance of a notice of termination to all covered persons who are patients seen on a regular basis by the provider whose contract is terminating, irrespective of whether the termination was for cause or without cause.

(c) Where a contract termination involves a primary care professional, all covered persons who are patients of that primary care professional shall also be notified. Within five (5) working days of the date that the provider either gives or receives notice of termination, the provider shall supply the health carrier with a list of those patients of the provider that are covered by a plan of the health carrier.

(2) (a) (i) For purposes of this paragraph, “active treatment” means regular visits with a provider to monitor the status of an illness or disorder, provide direct treatment, prescribe medication or other treatment or modify a treatment protocol.

(ii) “Active treatment” does not include routine monitoring for a chronic condition (e.g., monitoring chronic asthma, not for an acute phase of the condition).

(b) Whenever a provider’s contract is terminated without cause, the health carrier shall allow affected covered persons with acute or chronic medical conditions in active treatment to continue such treatment until it is completed or for up to ninety (90) days, whichever is less.
In the event that a provider’s contract is terminated without cause and the provider treats pregnant covered persons, the health carrier shall allow affected covered persons in their second or third trimester of pregnancy to continue care with the provider through the postpartum period.

For purposes of this paragraph:

“Life-threatening” means a disease or condition for which likelihood of death is probable unless the course of the disease or condition is interrupted.

“Special circumstance” means a condition regarding which the treating physician or health care provider believes that discontinuing care by the treating physician or provider could cause harm to the covered person. Examples of a covered person who has a special circumstance include a covered person with a disability, acute condition or life-threatening disease or a covered person who is past the 24th week of pregnancy.

Each contract between a health carrier and a participating provider shall provide that termination of contract does not release the health carrier from the obligation of continuing to reimburse a physician or provider providing medically necessary treatment at the time of termination to a covered person who has a special circumstance if the treating physician or health care provider does the following:

Identifies a special circumstance with respect to the covered person:

Requests that the covered person be permitted to continue treatment under the physician’s or provider’s care:

Agrees to accept the same reimbursement from the health carrier for that patient as provided under the carrier’s provider contract; and

Agrees not to seek payment from the covered person of any amount for which the covered person would not be responsible if the physician or provider were still a participating provider.

A health carrier shall agree to extend its obligation to reimburse the treating physician or provider for ongoing treatment at the in-network rate if:

The health carrier agrees that a condition for which ongoing treatment is being provided is a special circumstance; and

The contract termination was not “for cause.”

Except as provided in Subparagraph (e) of this paragraph, the health carrier’s obligation to reimburse the treating physician or provider for ongoing treatment of a covered person ends the earlier of:

The next plan renewal date; or

The course of treatment ends.

If the covered person is past the 24th week of pregnancy at the time of termination, the health carrier’s obligation to reimburse the treating physician or provider extends through delivery of child and applies to immediate postpartum care and a follow-up check-up within the 6-week period after delivery.

Drafting Note: States may want to review other state laws and regulations and consider adding to them special enrollment periods to address potential issues consumers may face, particularly with respect to continuity of care issues, when a consumer’s enrollment or non-enrollment in a health benefit plan is the result of a material error, inaccuracy or
misrepresentation in a provider directory, including when a provider is listed as a participating provider, but subsequently is found not to be an in-network or a participating provider is listed as accepting new patients.

M. The rights and responsibilities under a contract between a health carrier and a participating provider shall not be assigned or delegated by the provider without the prior written consent of the health carrier.

Drafting Note: In order to assure continued provider participation, a state may wish to restrict the right of a health carrier to assign or delegate its contract with a provider without prior written notice to the provider.

N. A health carrier is responsible for ensuring that a participating provider furnishes covered benefits to all covered persons without regard to the covered person’s enrollment in the plan as a private purchaser of the plan or as a participant in publicly financed programs of health care services. This requirement does not apply to circumstances when the provider should not render services due to limitations arising from lack of training, experience, skill or licensing restrictions.

O. A health carrier shall notify the participating providers of their obligations, if any, to collect applicable coinsurance, copayments or deductibles from covered persons pursuant to the evidence of coverage, or of the providers’ obligations, if any, to notify covered persons of their personal financial obligations for non-covered services.

P. A health carrier shall not penalize a provider because the provider, in good faith, reports to state or federal authorities any act or practice by the health carrier that jeopardizes patient health or welfare.

Q. A health carrier shall establish a mechanism by which the participating providers may determine in a timely manner whether or not a person is covered by the carrier.

R. A health carrier shall establish procedures for resolution of administrative, payment or other disputes between providers and the health carrier.

S. A contract between a health carrier and a provider shall not contain definitions or other provisions that conflict with the definitions or provisions contained in the managed care network plan or the requirements of this Act.

T. A health carrier and, if appropriate, an intermediary shall timely notify a participating provider of all provisions at the time the contract is executed and of any material changes in the contract.

U. A health carrier that uses automatically renewing contracts with its providers shall provide notice to the provider three weeks in advance of the notice of termination period that the contract is about to renew and failure to terminate by the contractual deadline will result in contract renewal.

Section 7. Disclosure and Notice Requirements

A. A health carrier for each of its network plans shall develop a written disclosure or notice to be provided to covered persons at the time of pre-certification, if applicable, for a covered benefit to be provided at an in-network hospital that there is the possibility that the covered person could be treated by a provider that is not in the same network as the hospital.

B. For non-emergency services, as a requirement of its provider contract with a health carrier, a hospital shall develop a written disclosure or notice to be provided to a covered person of the carrier within ten (10) days of an appointment for in-patient or outpatient services at the hospital or at the time of a non-emergency admission at the hospital that confirms that the hospital is a participating provider of the covered person’s network plan and informs the covered person that a physician or other provider who may provide services to the covered person while at the hospital may not be a participating provider in the same network as the hospital.

Drafting Note: States should be aware that network adequacy issues could arise due to an insufficient number of participating providers available to provide adequate and reasonable access for covered persons to covered benefits related to hospital-based providers who are not in the same network as the in-network hospital.

Section 8. Provider Directories
A. (1) A health carrier shall post online a current provider directory for each of its network plans with the information and search functions, as described in Subsection C.
(2) The health carrier shall update each network plan provider directory at least monthly and shall be offered in a manner to accommodate individuals with limited-English language proficiency or disabilities. The health carrier must take affirmative action to ensure that providers listed in the directory are actively submitting claims and that all information provided is correct.

(3) A health carrier shall provide a print copy of a current provider directory with the information described in Subsection B upon request of a covered person or a prospective covered person.

B. The health carrier shall make available in print the following provider directory information for each network plan:

(1) For health care professionals:
   (a) Name;
   (b) Gender;
   (c) Contact information;
   (d) Specialty;
   (e) Whether accepting new patients; and
   (f) Health plans accepted.

(2) For hospitals:
   (a) Hospital name;
   (b) Hospital location and telephone number; and
   (c) Hospital accreditation status; and

(3) Except hospitals, other facilities by type:
   (a) Facility name;
   (b) Facility type;
   (c) Procedures performed; and
   (d) Facility location and telephone number.

C. For the online provider directories, for each network plan, a health carrier shall include:

(1) The health care professional information in Subsection B(1) that includes search functions and instructions for accessing additional information on the health care professional, such as:
   (a) Hospital affiliations;
   (b) Medical group affiliations;
   (c) Board certification(s);
   (d) Languages spoken by the health care professional or clinical staff; and
   (e) Office location(s);

(2) For hospitals, the following information with search functions for specific data types and instructions for searching for the following information:
(a) Hospital name; and
(b) Hospital location; and

(3) Except hospitals, for other facilities, the following information with search functions for specific data types and instructions for searching for the following information:
(a) Facility name;
(b) Facility type;
(c) Procedures performed; and
(d) Facility location.

D. The health carrier shall confirm the availability of the physicians tested in the directory by providing and publishing quarterly reports by provider, by plan or the number of claims the provider submitted in the prior quarter. The health carrier shall also report and publish the number of in network and out of network claims submitted by physician specialty on a quarterly basis.

Drafting Note: In addition to requiring health carriers to update their provider directories at least monthly, to help improve the accuracy of the directories, states could consider the following: 1) a requirement that health carriers in some manner, such as through an automated verification process, contact providers listed as in network who have not submitted claims within the past six months or other time frame a state may feel is appropriate, to determine whether the provider still intends to be in network; 2) a requirement that health carriers internally audit their directories and modify directories accordingly based on audit findings to access: a) whether their contact information is correct, b) whether they are really in the plan’s network, and c) whether they are taking new patients; and 3) closely monitoring consumer complaints.

Section 79. Intermediaries

A contract between a health carrier and an intermediary shall satisfy all the requirements contained in this section.

A. Intermediaries and participating providers with whom they contract shall comply with all the applicable requirements of Section 6 of this Act.

B. A health carrier’s statutory responsibility to monitor the offering of covered benefits to covered persons shall not be delegated or assigned to the intermediary.

C. A health carrier shall have the right to approve or disapprove participation status of a subcontracted provider in its own or a contracted network for the purpose of delivering covered benefits to the carrier’s covered persons.

D. A health carrier shall maintain copies of all intermediary health care subcontracts at its principal place of business in the state, or ensure that it has access to all intermediary subcontracts, including the right to make copies to facilitate regulatory review, upon twenty (20) days prior written notice from the health carrier.

E. If applicable, an intermediary shall transmit utilization documentation and claims paid documentation to the health carrier. The carrier shall monitor the timeliness and appropriateness of payments made to providers and health care services received by covered persons.

F. If applicable, an intermediary shall maintain the books, records, financial information and documentation of services provided to covered persons at its principal place of business in the state and preserve them for [cite applicable statutory duration] in a manner that facilitates regulatory review.

G. An intermediary shall allow the commissioner access to the intermediary’s books, records, financial information and any documentation of services provided to covered persons, as necessary to determine compliance with this Act.
H. A health carrier shall have the right, in the event of the intermediary’s insolvency, to require the assignment to
the health carrier of the provisions of a provider’s contract addressing the provider’s obligation to furnish
covered service.
I. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the health carrier shall retain full responsibility for the intermediary’s compliance with the requirements of this Act.

**Drafting Note:** States may want to consider requiring intermediaries to register with the state department of insurance, or other state agency as the state may feel is appropriate, or impose some other type of regulatory scheme on such entities, to ensure the state has the regulatory authority to regulate them and keep track of their activities.

**Section 810.** Filing Requirements and State Administration

A. Beginning [insert effective date], a health carrier shall file with the commissioner sample contract forms proposed for use with its participating providers and intermediaries.

**Drafting Note:** States may want to review their open records laws to determine whether the sample contract forms filed under Subsection A are considered public information.

B. A health carrier shall submit material changes to a contract that would affect a provision required by this statute under this Act or implementing regulations to the commissioner for [filing] [approval within [cite period of time in the form approval statute]] within [x] days prior to use. Changes in provider payment rates, coinsurance, copayments or deductibles, or other plan benefit modifications are not considered material changes for the purpose of this subsection.

**Drafting Note:** Subsection B provides an option for states to require health carriers to file with the commissioner for informational purposes any material changes to a contract or to require health carriers to file with the commissioner any material changes to a contract for prior approval. A state should choose which option is appropriate for the state.

**Drafting Note:** States should consider that when health carriers make changes in contracted provider payment rates, coinsurance, copayments or deductibles, or other plan benefit modifications, such changes could materially impact a covered person’s access to covered benefits or timely access to participating providers; and as such, would be considered a material change to a contract subject to the requirement to file with the commissioner for informational purposes or filing for prior approval.

C. If the commissioner takes no action within sixty (60) days after submission of a material change to a contract by a health carrier, the change is deemed approved.

D. The health carrier shall maintain provider and intermediary contracts at its principal place of business in the state, or the health carrier shall have access to all contracts and provide copies to facilitate regulatory review upon twenty (20) days prior written notice from the commissioner.

**Section 911.** Contracting

A. The execution of a contract by a health carrier shall not relieve the health carrier of its liability to any person with whom it has contracted for the provision of services, nor of its responsibility for compliance with the law or applicable regulations.

B. All contracts shall be in writing and subject to review.

**Drafting Note:** Each state should add provisions that are consistent with that state’s current regulatory requirements for the approval or disapproval of health carrier contracts, documents or actions. For example, a state may want to add a provision requiring a health carrier to obtain prior approval of contracts, or requiring a health carrier to file a contract before using it, or requiring a health carrier to certify that all its contracts comply with this Act.

C. All contracts shall comply with applicable requirements of the law and applicable regulations.

**Section 1012.** Enforcement

A. If the commissioner determines that a health carrier has not contracted with enough a sufficient number of participating providers to assure that covered persons have accessible health care services in a geographic area, or that a health carrier’s network access plan does not assure reasonable access to covered benefits, or that a health carrier has entered into a contract that does not comply with this Act, or that a health carrier
has not complied with a provision of this Act, the commissioner \textbf{may} \textbf{shall require a modification to the access plan or institute a corrective action plan, as appropriate}, that shall be followed by the health carrier, or may use any of the commissioner’s other enforcement powers to obtain the health carrier’s compliance with this Act.

\textbf{Drafting Note:} The reference to requiring the health carrier to modify the access plan instead of instituting a corrective action is to reflect the idea that sometimes the network changes through no fault of the health carrier and in those instances, the commissioner may require the health carrier to modify the access plan to bring the health carrier into compliance with the network adequacy requirements of this Act.

\textbf{Drafting Note:} State insurance regulators may use a variety of tools and/or methods to determine a health carrier’s ongoing compliance with the provisions of this Act and whether the health carrier’s provider network is sufficient and provides covered persons with reasonable access to covered benefits. Such tools and/or methods include consumer surveys, reviewing and tracking consumer complaints and data collection on the use of out-of-network benefits.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{B.} The commissioner will not act to arbitrate, mediate or settle disputes regarding a decision not to include a provider in a \textbf{managed care network} plan or in a provider network or regarding any other dispute between a health carrier, its intermediaries or \textbf{one or more a}—providers \\textbf{network}—arising under or by reason of a provider contract or its termination.
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Section 1413. Regulations}

The commissioner may, after notice and hearing, promulgate reasonable regulations to carry out the provisions of this Act. The regulations shall be subject to review in accordance with [insert statutory citation providing for administrative rulemaking and review of regulations].

\textbf{Section 1214. Penalties}

A violation of this Act shall [insert appropriate administrative penalty from state law].

\textbf{Section 1315. Separability}

If any provision of this Act, or the application of the provision to any person or circumstance shall be held invalid, the remainder of the Act, and the application of the provision to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected.

\textbf{Section 1416. Effective Date}

This Act shall be effective [insert date].

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{A.} All provider and intermediary contracts in effect on [insert effective date] shall comply with this Act no later than eighteen (18) months after [insert effective date]. The commissioner may extend the eighteen (18) months for an additional period not to exceed six (6) months if the health carrier demonstrates good cause for an extension.
  \item \textbf{B.} A new provider or intermediary contract that is issued or put in force on or after [insert a date that is six (6) months after the effective date of this Act] shall comply with this Act.
  \item \textbf{C.} A provider contract or intermediary contract not described in Subsection A or Subsection B shall comply with this Act no later than eighteen (18) months after [insert effective date].
\end{itemize}
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Objectives: The study examined availability of psychiatrists for outpatient appointments in three U.S. cities. Methods: Posing as patients, investigators called 360 psychiatrists listed in a major insurer’s database in Boston, Houston, and Chicago (N=120 per city) and attempted to make appointments. Callers claimed to have Blue Cross Blue Shield or Medicare or said they would pay out of pocket (N=120 per payer type, divided evenly across cities). Results: In round 1 of calling, investigators were able to reach 119 of the 360 psychiatrists (33%). Of 216 unanswered calls, 35 were returned. After two calling rounds, appointments were made with 93 psychiatrists (26%). Significant differences were noted between cities but not between payer type. Conclusions: Obtaining outpatient appointments with psychiatrists in three cities was difficult, irrespective of payer. Results suggest that expanding insurance coverage alone may do little to improve access to psychiatrists—or worse, expansion might further overwhelm the capacity of available services. (Psychiatric Services in Advance, October 15, 2014; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201400051)

Mental disorders are the leading cause of disability in the United States (1). In 2011 it was estimated that one in five U.S. adults had a mental illness and that less than 40% had received mental health services (1). In that year, a total of 10.8 million adults reported an unmet need for mental health care (2). Inadequate treatment contributes to individual and family suffering, spillover costs to the prison system, homelessness, lost productivity, and suicide.

Unfortunately, obtaining mental health services can be difficult, even with insurance. Surveys of psychiatrists have shown that although most were accepting new patients, psychiatrists were more likely to accept self-pay and privately insured patients than publicly insured patients (3–5). Although these studies are valuable, they do not reflect patients’ experience of seeking outpatient care.

Two studies have attempted to replicate the patient experience by calling psychiatric clinics to schedule outpatient appointments (5,6). They found that appointments were difficult to obtain and that clinics often did not answer or return the calls. Using a similar “simulated patient” methodology, we posed as care seekers with three payer types: Blue Cross Blue Shield (BCBS) PPO, Medicare, and self-pay. In a departure from past studies, we called individual psychiatrists, rather than psychiatric clinics, in three major U.S. cities.

Methods
We utilized the BCBS Web site to obtain a list of in-network BCBS providers in Chicago, Houston, and Boston. We selected suburban zip codes for our search so that our calls would not all go to a single medical center, and we chose BCBS because it is the largest insurer in Illinois, Texas, and Massachusetts.

We randomly divided the first 120 psychiatrists listed in each city (N=360) into three payer groups of 40 each. Each psychiatrist was called one time by a simulated patient claiming to have one of the three coverage types: BCBS PPO, Medicare, or self-pay. We posed as patients with depressive symptoms and made calls during normal business hours. Voicemails specifying payer type were left, if possible, when calls were not answered.

Data collected included whether the call was answered, whether we were able to make an appointment, the soonest available appointment date, the reason why no appointment was given, and if and when calls were returned. Surveys of psychiatrists have shown that although most were accepting new patients, psychiatrists were more likely to accept self-pay and privately insured patients than publicly insured patients (3–5). Although these studies are valuable, they do not reflect patients’ experience of seeking outpatient care.

Two studies have attempted to replicate the patient experience by calling psychiatric clinics to schedule outpatient appointments (5,6). They found that appointments were difficult to obtain and that clinics often did not answer or return the calls. Using a similar “simulated patient” methodology, we posed as care seekers with three payer types: Blue Cross Blue Shield (BCBS) PPO, Medicare, and self-pay. In a departure from past studies, we called individual psychiatrists, rather than psychiatric clinics, in three major U.S. cities.
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Table 1
Reasons appointments were not made with psychiatrists, by payer type of care-seeking patient\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Self-pay (N=86)</th>
<th>Medicare (N=96)</th>
<th>BCBS PPO (N=85)</th>
<th>Total (N=267)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason unknown or unreachable psychiatrist</td>
<td>29 (34%)</td>
<td>27 (28%)</td>
<td>32 (38%)</td>
<td>88 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong number</td>
<td>21 (24%)</td>
<td>24 (25%)</td>
<td>13 (15%)</td>
<td>58 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not accept general adult outpatients(^b)</td>
<td>11 (13%)</td>
<td>11 (11%)</td>
<td>14 (16%)</td>
<td>36 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not accept payment type</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>11 (11%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>12 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not accepting new patients</td>
<td>20 (23%)</td>
<td>19 (20%)</td>
<td>16 (19%)</td>
<td>55 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed more information</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (6%)</td>
<td>12 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of the office</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>6 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^d)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Percentages do not add to 100% because in some instances, more than one reason was given.
\(^b\) Blue Cross Blue Shield
\(^c\) Saw only inpatients, only adolescents, or only patients with substance use problems
\(^d\) For example, accepts referrals only

Results
We called 360 psychiatrists in round 1. Forty percent of calls were answered. However, 16% of the numbers in the BCBS database were wrong; they included numbers for a McDonald’s restaurant, a boutique, and a jewelry store. Therefore, although 40% of the calls to the 360 psychiatrists were answered, we were able to reach only 119 psychiatrists in our sample in the first calling round (33%). As expected, there were no significant differences by payer with regard to answered calls; however, significant differences in answered calls were noted between cities. Psychiatrists in Houston were most likely to answer round 1 calls, and psychiatrists in Boston were least likely (Boston, 31%; Houston, 50%; and Chicago, 39%; \(\chi^2 = 9.24, df=2, p = .01\)).

Of the 216 unanswered calls in round 1, 78 (36%) were returned. Psychiatrists in Boston were significantly more likely than psychiatrists in Houston to return calls (Boston, 50%; Houston, 15%; and Chicago, 42%; \(\chi^2 = 19.80, df=2, p < .001\)). A possible explanation is that more psychiatrists in Houston than in Boston answered our initial calls. Although the proportion of round 1 calls returned was larger in the BCBS and self-pay groups than in the Medicare group, this difference was not significant.

In round 2, we attempted to reach the 222 psychiatrists for whom we did not have appointment availability information after round 1. In this round, 75 calls (34%) were answered. Again, some numbers were wrong, and we were able to speak to someone at the correct number for only 62 (28%) of these calls. Of the 123 unanswered round 2 calls, 42 (34%) were returned. There were no significant differences in returned round 2 calls between cities or payers. After two rounds of calling, we were able to obtain appointment availability information for 219 of 360 psychiatrists. No further attempts were made to reach the remaining psychiatrists.

In total, we were able to obtain appointments with 93 psychiatrists, representing 26% of our sample. Tables 1 and 2 list reasons the offices gave for not making appointment by payer type and city, respectively. Although we were able to obtain appointments more frequently by using BCBS or self-pay compared with Medicare, this difference was not significant. There was a significant difference in success rate between cities, with psychiatrists in Boston least likely to offer an appointment and those in Houston most likely (Boston, 18%; Houston, 34%; and Chicago, 25%; \(\chi^2 = 7.92, df=2, p = .02\)). The mean±SD number of days until the first available appointment was 25±22 (range 0–93 days), and this did not differ significantly across city or payment type.

Table 2
Reasons appointments were not made with psychiatrists, by city\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Boston (N=98)</th>
<th>Houston (N=79)</th>
<th>Chicago (N=90)</th>
<th>Total (N=267)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason unknown or unreachable psychiatrist</td>
<td>23 (24%)</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
<td>41 (46%)</td>
<td>88 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong number</td>
<td>19 (20%)</td>
<td>21 (27%)</td>
<td>18 (20%)</td>
<td>58 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not accept general adult outpatients(^b)</td>
<td>14 (14%)</td>
<td>13 (16%)</td>
<td>9 (10%)</td>
<td>36 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not accept payment type</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>9 (11%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>12 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not accepting new patients</td>
<td>29 (30%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>14 (16%)</td>
<td>55 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed more information</td>
<td>8 (8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>12 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of the office</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>6 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^c)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>5 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Percentages do not add to 100% because in some instances, more than one reason was given.
\(^b\) Saw only inpatients, only adolescents, or only patients with substance use problems
\(^c\) For example, accepts referrals only

Discussion and conclusions
Obtaining an outpatient appointment with a psychiatrist was difficult in the three cities we surveyed, and the appointments given were an average of one month away. Our findings add to the growing evidence that the mental health system is difficult for consumers to access. The findings are in line with national data demonstrating that two-thirds of primary care physicians cannot obtain outpatient mental health services for patients who need them (7).

Of note, the BCBS database did not exclusively list psychiatrists who were reachable and accepting patients: 16% of the numbers listed were wrong, 15% of practices were full (accepting no new
patients), and 10% of the numbers directed us to psychiatrists who did not see general adult outpatients. There was also a high incidence of unanswered calls, which supports existing research showing that this phenomenon is more common when individuals seek mental health care compared with other forms of care (5). In addition, our study was limited both by its small sample and because we called only psychiatrists and not other kinds of mental health providers. We did this to mimic inexperienced care seekers who might use our process of searching for psychiatrists on an insurance Web site rather than obtaining the name of a specific provider.

Our results indicate that having insurance is not enough to guarantee access to outpatient care from a psychiatrist. In fact, although we would have expected BCBS PPO to perform better because we used BCBS’s list of in-network providers, insurance did not offer any significant benefit over paying out of pocket in our attempts to obtain outpatient appointments with psychiatrists. Although the per capita supply of active physicians is highest in Massachusetts and ninth lowest in Texas among the states, it was most difficult to reach psychiatrists and obtain appointments in Boston and least difficult in Houston (8). The difference may therefore be related to the size of the insured population or to demand: in 392 urbanized areas ranked by highest uninsured rates in 2011, Houston ranked 11, whereas Boston ranked 290 (9). Expanding health insurance coverage through the Affordable Care Act may thus do little to change the conditions that made it difficult for us to obtain outpatient appointments with psychiatrists—or worse, expansion of coverage might further overwhelm the capacity of available services from these providers.

Increasing the number of medical students who choose to go into psychiatry would likely improve matters. This might be achieved through several means. First, integrating psychiatry into general medical care through a longitudinal exposure to the field—as opposed to the traditional month-long clerkships—appears to reduce some of the stigma traditionally attached to psychiatric care and has also been demonstrated to greatly increase the number of medical students who choose psychiatry (10). In addition, exposing medical students to psychodynamic psychotherapy is associated with dramatic increases in the number of students who select psychiatry (10,11).

Beyond changing the psychiatric training that medical students receive, increasing reimbursement rates for care provided by psychiatrists may attract more medical students to the profession and cause more psychiatrists in private practice to accept new patients who are insured. Meanwhile, insurance companies must ensure that the information for their in-network providers is accurate and useful for patients seeking care.
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