PBR EDUCATIONAL BRIEF
(Supplement to the Legislative Brief for those readers interested in more detail on PBR)

Standard Valuation Law and Standard Nonforfeiture Law

- What are the changes to the Standard Valuation Law?
  - The Standard Valuation Law (SVL) is unchanged for current business.
  - The SVL includes new sections to authorize an NAIC Valuation Manual, thereby implementing PBR reserving, and to require insurance company reporting of data experience.
  - The SVL preserves commissioner authority to require companies to change any assumption or method, as appropriate, and to engage a qualified actuary at the expense of the company to review compliance with Valuation Manual requirements.

- What are the changes to the Standard Nonforfeiture Law?
  - The Standard Nonforfeiture Law establishes minimum benefit values if policies are surrendered or lapsed.
  - For consistency, the Standard Nonforfeiture Law would refer to the Standard Valuation Law and the Valuation Manual as the source for mortality and interest rates used in nonforfeiture calculations.
  - At present, the standard nonforfeiture value calculations are not changed.

- What is the Valuation Manual?
  - The Valuation Manual contains reserve calculation requirements as agreed by commissioners as members of the NAIC. Requirements include experience reporting, actuarial opinion, PBR reporting, and corporate governance requirements.
  - Most of the requirements are dynamic in nature, automatically adaptable to changes in the insurance marketplace and changes in the economy.
  - Other changes (to prescribed elements) can be made to the Valuation Manual similar to the way changes are made to the NAIC Accounting and Procedures Manual, which sets out the details of...
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Future changes in the Valuation Manual require approval by a supermajority of NAIC jurisdictions (PBR will be effective only after the law revisions are adopted by at least 42 states representing 75% of total U.S. premium and then, after a three-year transition period. (Companies can implement PBR anytime in the 3 years.)

Principle-based Reserving (PBR)

What is PBR?
- Insurers set aside funds, or reserves, to pay insurance claims when due. Currently, formulas and assumptions are used to determine these reserves, as prescribed by state laws and regulations.
- Companies will hold the higher of a) the reserve using prescribed factors and b) the reserve which considers a wide range of future economic conditions and is computed using justified company experience factors, such as mortality, policyholder behavior, and expenses.
- The Valuation Manual is established by the Standard Valuation Law and would be used to detail the reserve calculation requirements.
- The new Standard Valuation Law and Valuation Manual are built to encompass requirements for all life and health insurers and the business they write. Initially, reserving methods only change for life insurance. However, over time, PBR is expected to be developed for other product lines.

Why is PBR needed?
- The formulaic approach prescribed by current state laws and regulations needs to be frequently updated as new product designs are introduced. PBR alleviates this need to a great degree.
- State laws would establish principles upon which reserves are to be based rather than specific formulas, with more detail and constraints included in the Valuation Manual.
- Current formulas do not always accurately reflect the risks or the true cost of the liability or obligations of the insurer. For some products this leads to excessive conservatism in reserve calculations and for others it results in inadequate reserves.
- The current system locks in certain assumptions, resulting in reserves that do not change as economic conditions change or as insurers accumulate actual experience. The new system adjusts reserves as economic conditions change and as insurers accumulate credible experience.

Is PBR more or less conservative?
- When compared to current statutory reserve levels, PBR reserves will be higher for some insurance products and lower for others, because PBR uses a process that more accurately reflects the risks assumed by life insurers.
- The NAIC’s 2012 impact study shows lower reserves for competitive level premium term insurance and both lower and higher reserves for universal life policies with secondary guarantees. This analysis was performed before both the Valuation Manual and the most recent changes to current reserve requirements were finalized; covered a small, but diverse sample of companies; and measured reserves at a point in time five years after the implementation of PBR. The term life insurance products analyzed demonstrated a projected 38% to 64% decrease in reserves for products issued during the first 5 years of PBR. The Universal Life with Secondary Guarantee (ULSG) products that were analyzed demonstrated reserve impacts ranging from a decrease of 44% to an increase of 63% over that same time period. The wide range of outcomes for ULSG is not unexpected given the variations in company interpretations of the reserve requirements for this product type that were in effect when this study was done. Reserves for most other products (e.g., whole life and current assumption universal life) remained unchanged. This analysis suggests that for some products there could be a significant change, but the fact that the analysis was done before both the Valuation Manual and the most recent changes to current reserve requirements were finalized, and that it only covered products issued during the first 5 years of PBR, are important considerations when evaluating its results. The analysis also
assumes that current designs and pricing remain unchanged from today, which is unlikely.

- The Principle-based Reserve Strategy Subgroup of the American Academy of Actuaries (Academy) cautions that the change in reserve levels for an individual product, a single company or the entire life insurance industry should not be the only metric used to evaluate the merits of PBR. An estimate of the decrease or increase in reserves is only one factor and is not necessarily good or bad in and of itself; such estimates are only useful when considered in light of the effectiveness of the current statutory reserve requirements. The more important consideration is that, whether reserves increase or decrease under PBR, the reserves will more accurately reflect the risks assumed by life insurers (i.e., the “right-sizing” of reserves).

- In addition, even if there are large changes in reserves for individual products as the NAIC’s impact study shows, the overall effect on life insurance reserves will be small. The Academy extended the NAIC’s impact study results to estimate the impact of PBR on life insurance industry reserves in aggregate. The Academy projected a hypothetical representation of life insurance industry reserves for products issued during the first 5 years of PBR. It is hypothetical because 1) no participating companies provided results for all term products being sold; 2) there is a wide variety of ULSG specific factors; and 3) all other assumptions, like the type of products being sold in the marketplace, were expected to stay the same. Under these hypothetical assumptions, the projected impact of PBR on total life insurance reserves for the industry was shown to be a decrease of less than 5%.

- The 5% estimate is driven largely by the fact that PBR only applies to policies issued on or after the effective date of the Valuation Manual, and has no impact on the large block of in-force policies that are not subject to the new PBR requirements. That percentage will likely change in future years, due to a variety of counteractive forces. As a block of policies ages, the percentage decrease in reserves will tend to decline. That is because reserves are relatively low during early policy durations, and even small reductions can be large percentages. However, this will be offset by the fact that, as new policies are issued, a larger block will be subject to PBR, which will tend to raise the percentage decrease. This process will take many years and, for any given company, will depend upon the type and nature of its life insurance portfolio.

- The Academy stresses that the estimated impact should be viewed with a great deal of caution since many companies will choose to delay implementation under the three-year phase-in option. Further, many companies will change their product offerings over the next five years. There is no way of quantifying the impact of these developments on the life insurance reserve levels for an individual company or for the industry in aggregate.

- The PBR approach is built with numerous safeguards:
  - Prescriptive and limiting elements have been introduced into the Valuation Manual that will limit the extent to which reserves will be reduced from the current levels.
  - If the new PBR method produces higher reserves than the minimum reserve floor, that higher reserve must be booked.
  - PBR will be phased-in over 3 years after the Valuation Manual is in effect.
  - PBR only applies to new policies issued after the revised standard valuation law and Valuation Manual are in effect.

What will make PBR successful?
- Regulators will collectively review PBR through NAIC activity and can easily adjust the Valuation Manual, if needed.
- Companies will be able to appropriately reflect their own company experience (i.e. mortality, lapse, expenses, etc.) in the reserves calculation with an appropriate level of conservatism. Companies must justify their calculations.
- Regulatory resources will be enhanced. At present, the NAIC is studying the need for resources at the states and the ability for collective sharing of experience with the new requirements at the NAIC to aid the states.
- Regulators will be building more analytical tools and will be implementing data requirements to
enhance regulatory oversight.
  o PBR will allow better alignment of regulatory requirements with company risk exposures and risk management practices.

➢ How will PBR Affect Consumers?
  o “Right-sizing” the reserves is important for consumers. Holding higher reserves than necessary results in higher costs to consumers. Holding reserves that are too low can put companies at greater risk of insolvency, with lower protection to consumers.
  o PBR is a step needed to ultimately allow for the introduction of multi-benefit products that can be more flexible and valuable to consumers. Some examples are life insurance policies that can automatically convert to annuities upon retirement, products that could cover an entire family for life insurance, or that could provide life insurance protection for a woman (or man) while simultaneously providing retirement income to a parent or to pay for home or nursing home care.

➢ How will PBR Affect Companies?
  o The calculation of PBR reserves will require insurers to model and assess the risks they undertake in offering their products to consumers. Insurers writing complex products are exposed to various risks and should already be utilizing sophisticated actuarial models to manage those risks. Thus, the new modeling requirements of PBR should not represent challenges to those insurers. To the extent insurers do not offer products with the complex risks, compliance with the new requirements will be less demanding. The Valuation Manual includes exclusion tests that allow less complex products to be excluded from PBR calculations.
  o Since companies may choose to phase-in PBR over three years after the Valuation Manual is effective, it allows companies to plan (and spread out) the additional work of implementation.

➢ How will PBR Affect Insurance Regulators?
  o While PBR and the Valuation Manual are new, PBR is the codification of more modern valuation methods that have been in development for more than 20 years. The NAIC and state regulators have been modernizing different aspects of the entire solvency regime over this time.
  o The gradual implementation of PBR will give regulators more time to familiarize themselves with the new requirements. Regulators will need to consider how PBR fits into the risk-focused approach to financial examination; materiality of PBR reserves of a particular block of business will be a consideration. A phased-in implementation and safeguards described above will allow sufficient time to evaluate the effectiveness of the new requirements and determine if adjustments are needed.
  o As regulatory expertise develops and comfort level increases, regulatory focus should shift to the insurers whose assumptions and/or reserve levels are outliers relative to industry norms. A better understanding of the range of results and best practices will lead to appropriate changes to the Valuation Manual.
  o PBR may reduce the un-level playing field created by product designs developed with the express intention to take advantage of the limitations of the formulaic approach, and PBR may more readily allow for product designs that weren’t contemplated by the formulaic approach. Successful implementation of PBR will result in fewer resources needed for the update and maintenance of valuation standards to address these situations.
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